# BASIN PLANNING ROUNDTABLE October 15 and 16, 2014

#### WEBEX INFORMATION INCLUDED AT END OF AGENDA

## **LOCATION**

State Water Resources Control Board, Room 1540

1001 | Street

Sacramento, CA 95814

Location Contact: Stephanie Rose (916) 341-5574

#### **DAY 1 AGENDA**

Wednesday, October 15, 2014

8:30am to 4:00pm

Item 1. Welcome (informal sign in); Hot beverages and eatables; Tour the facility.

<u>Time</u>: 8:30 to 9:00

Assigned to: All

<u>Purpose</u>: Accommodate out of town travelers.

<u>Desired Outcome</u>: Get comfortable before meeting starts.

Item 2. Introductions.

Time: 9:00 to 9:15

Assigned to: All

Purpose: Introductions of attendees (on phone and at meeting). Assign timekeeper

Participants and Affiliation:

SWRCB Planning staff: Zane Poulson, Nirmal Sandhar, Stephanie Rose, Brian Ogg, Amanda

Palumbo, Steve Camancho, Jacob Iversen

Oceans Unit: Mariela Carpio-Obesso

OCC: Stacy Gillespie

R1: Alydda Mangelsdorf R2: Richard Looker R3: Steve Saiz

R4: Ginachi Amah R5: Betty Yee R6: Mary Fiore-Wagner

R7: <u>Jeff Geraci</u> R8: <u>David Woelfel</u> R9: <u>Michelle Mata</u>

**USEPA: Terry Flemming** 

## Item 3. Standard Meeting Management

Time: 9:15 to 9:30

Assigned to: Alydda Mangelsdorf, Region 1

Purpose: Ensure accurate documentation of BPRT agendas, minutes, etc

<u>Desired Outcome</u>: Finalize meeting agenda. Approve previous meeting's minutes. Inform BP staff of updates/announcements not covered by agenda items

Background: Betty Yee sent out meeting minutes after the last meeting. Minutes should be

good to go, but we'll doublecheck.

Attachments: Minutes from July 2014 Roundtable meeting

Notes:

#### Item 4. Roundtable Email List

Time: 9:30-9:45

Assigned to: Steve Saiz, Region 3

<u>Purpose</u>: Make final decision on the email lists used to communicate various kinds of

information related to basin planning and roundtable business

<u>Desired Outcome</u>: Final email lists for: focused communications, roundtable planning, and

general communication

Background: Steve has created a spreadsheet with different levels of roundtable involvement

which may be useful for finalizing lists.

Attachments:

Notes:

### Item 5. EPA Update

Time: 9:45-10:00

Assigned to: Terry Flemming

<u>Purpose:</u> Provide update on EPA developments related to water quality standards and basin planning.

<u>Desired Outcome:</u> Information sharing / general coordination.

Background: EPA implements the Clean Water Act, including the development of regulations associated with water quality standards. It also develops policy and guidance of interest to planning. The outcome of legal challenges in other places in the county is often of importance to California's development and implementation of water quality standards.

## Attachments:

Notes:

## Item 6. National Water Quality Monitoring Council

Time: 10:00-10:15

Assigned to: John Marshack

<u>Purpose:</u> Provide an update on the mission, special projects, and any recent recommendations of the National Water Quality Monitoring Council.

<u>Desired Outcome:</u> Information sharing / general coordination

<u>Background:</u> John has recently become a member of the National Water Quality Monitoring Council.

Attachments:

Notes:

**BREAK 10:15-10:30** 

## Item 7. Groundwater Protection – Panel Discussion

Time: 10:30-noon, 40-45 minute presentation followed by 20-30 minute discussion

Assigned to: SWRCB Panel including:

Janice Zinky on Fracking

Erik Ekdahl on the new Groundwater Regulations

Ashley Zellmer on the Nitrate Expert Panel

Diane Barclay on Antidegradation Policy and Salt and Nutrient Management Plans

<u>Purpose</u>: Provide an overview of recent statewide efforts related to groundwater quality protection with a focus on the potential for intersection with basin planning

<u>Desired Outcome</u>: Information sharing / general coordination and brainstorming related to possible opportunities for integration of surface water and groundwater programs, particularly in light of drought and climate change and the intersection with basin planning.

<u>Background</u>: The SWRCB has been involved in a number of statewide activities related to groundwater quality protection which are ripe for broader sharing.

Attachments:

Notes:

**LUNCH BREAK: 12:00-1:00** 

## Item 8. Regional Board Planning Updates

<u>Time</u>: 1:00-2:00 <u>Assigned to</u>: All

<u>Purpose</u>: Briefly discuss highlights of region's planning efforts Desired Outcome: Information sharing / general coordination

Background: It is assumed that everyone will have read the submitted material ahead of time.

So, the discussion can focus on a project or specific topic of interest for which

greater detail is appropriate.

<u>Attachments</u>: Updates submitted by each region, SWRCB Planning Unit, and Ocean Unit Notes:

#### Item 9. State Water Board Program Updates

Time: 2:00-2:30

Assigned to: Zane Poulson, Mariela Carpio-Obesso and others

Purpose: Update on State Board Planning Projects

<u>Desired Outcome</u>: Information sharing / general coordination. Provide Status summary on State Board planning projects. Update State Board website, as necessary.

Background: Projects listed on the State Board website include: 1. Antideg Policy for Groundwater, 2. Policy for Nutrients for Inland Surface Waters, 3. Policy for Toxicity Assessment and Control, 4. Russian River Frost Protection Regulation, 5. Trash Control, 6. Biological Integrity Assessment, 7. REC-1 Bacterial Objectives for fresh and marine waters, 7. Wetlands and Riparian Area Protection Policy, 8. Policy for Water Quality Control in the Delta and

Tributaries, 9. Mercury Discharge Offset Policy, 10. Statewide Mercury

Program, and 11. Total Residual Chlorine Policy

Attachments:

Notes:

BREAK: 2:30-2:45

#### Item 10. Basin Plan Mapping Project

Time: 2:45-3:45

Assigned to: Jeff Kapellas and others

Purpose: Update on the Basin Plan Mapping Project and upcoming regional QA/QC

<u>Desired Outcome</u>: Prepare regions for their upcoming QA/QC of the mapping project

components now available for review.

Background: Basin Plan Mapping Project is a statewide project contracted through UCIrivine

BP Roundtable Face to Face Agenda February 17 and 18, 2010 to develop an interactive web-based map of state waters and the beneficial uses as designated in each region's basin plan. Each region delineates its waterbodies and designates its beneficial uses differently, raising questions about the pros and cons of each method and whether or not there would be value to establishing a consistent approach statewide.

Attachments:

Notes:

## Item 11. Beneficial Use Designations

Time: 3:45-4:00

Assigned to: Alydda Mangelsdorf

Purpose: Consider the pros and cons of each region's approach to beneficial use designation

and the potential value of establishing a consistent statewide approach

<u>Desired Outcome</u>: Discussion only

Background: The Basin Plan Mapping Project illuminates some of the differences among

regions with respect to beneficial use designation.

Attachments:

Notes:

## **DAY 1 CALL-IN INSTRUCTIONS**

[CUT AND PASTE THE WEBEX INSTRUCTIONS FROM RIKS E-MAIL]

#### **DAY 2 AGENDA**

#### Item 12. Welcome Day 2, Hot beverages, Announcements, etc

Time: 8:15 to 8:30

Assigned to: All

<u>Purpose</u>: Communicate thoughts, inspirations from evening networking

Desired Outcome: Encourage after hours networking. Identify participants of the second day

of the BPRT meeting

Background:

Attachments:

Notes:

#### Item 13. **Triennial Review Process**

Time: 8:30-9:30

Assigned to: Alydda Mangelsdorf, Stacy Gillespie and Rik Rasmussen

<u>Purpose</u>: Discuss styles and approaches to triennial reviews, including consideration of new

laws, regulations, policies and guidance that may influence priority setting.

Desired Outcome: Information sharing / general coordination.

Background: A triennial review of the basin plan is required under the Clean Water Act, as is periodic review under Porter Cologne. One of the considerations for prioritizing a basin plan amendment project is if a new law, regulation, or policy directs a specific planning action. A similar consideration is if there has been new technical guidance developed which evaluates new science or establishes a new approach to standards setting.

Attachments:

Notes:

#### Item 14. **Wetland and Riparian Protection Policy**

Time: 9:30-10:45

Assigned to: Bill Orme

Purpose: Update Planners on progress towards statewide adoption of a Wetland and

Riparian Protection Policy

Desired Outcome: Information sharing / general coordination.

Background: The State Board has been developing a Wetland and Riparian Protection Policy

for statewide application. The project has been divided into multiple phases.

Attachments:

Page 6

BP Roundtable Face to Face Agenda February 17 and 18, 2010

Notes:

BREAK: 10:45-11:00

Item 15. Planning Performance Measures

Time: 11:00-NOON

Assigned to: Katy Landau

Purpose: To review and discuss the performance measures used to assess on a statewide

basis the progress being made in the Basin Planning program.

Desired Outcome: Information gathering / general coordination. Development of a clear

process for identifying and finalizing appropriate performance measures for FY

2014-2015.

Background:

Attachments:

Notes:

**LUNCH BREAK: NOON - 1:00** 

Item 16. Contract Priorities

<u>Time</u>: 1:00-2:00

Assigned to: Richard Looker

Purpose: Review and prioritize the planning contract proposals for DMC consideration

Desired Outcome: Finalize the roundtable's recommendations for the DMC.

Background:

Attachments:

Notes:

Item 17. Happy Trails

<u>Time</u>: 2:00-2:15 Assigned to: All

Purpose: Preplanning for next Round Table

<u>Desired Outcome</u>: Verify timing and location. Identify topics of concern. Assign

responsibilities Summarize Action Items, affirm time schedule for deliverables.

Make suggestions for meeting improvement and effectiveness.

Identify issues for inclusion on draft BPRT agenda for next meeting

Background:

Page 7

BP Roundtable Face to Face Agenda February 17 and 18, 2010

<u>Attachments</u>	<u>:</u>
Notes:	

Je Bert